1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110	Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice) Paul D. Brachman (pro hac vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRIS 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 204-7420 Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com Email: pbrachman@paulweiss.com William B. Michael (pro hac vice) Crystal L. Parker (pro hac vice) Daniel A. Crane (pro hac vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRIS 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 Email: wmichael@paulweiss.com		
	Email: cparker@paulweiss.com		
11	Email: dcrane@paulweiss.com		
12	Joshua Hill Jr. (SBN 250842) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRIS	ON LLP	
13	535 Mission Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105		
14	Telephone: (628) 432-5100		
15	Facsimile: (628) 232-3101 Email: jhill@paulweiss.com		
16	Attorneys for Defendant Intuitive Surgical, Inc.		
17	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
21			
22	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.,	Case No. 3:21-cv-03496-AMO	
23	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S ADDENDUM REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS	
24	V.	IN LIMINE NOS. 1 AND 5 AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE	
	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,	NO. 4	
25	Defendant.	The Honorable Araceli Martínez-Olguín	
26			
27			
28			
	i e		

Defendant's Addendum Regarding Plaintiff's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 and 5 and Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 4 3:21-cv-03496-AMO

Pursuant to the discussion at the November 25, 2024 Pretrial Conference and the Court's Minute Entry, Dkt. 316, Intuitive hereby submits this addendum relating to its Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1, Dkt. 301. The issues discussed herein also relate to Plaintiff's Motion No. 5, Dkt. 303, and Defendant's Motion No. 4, Dkt. 295. Counsel for Intuitive shared this addendum with counsel for SIS on November 26, 2024, prior to filing.

In order to prove an antitrust violation for the time period after November 2022, SIS must show that Intuitive took actions that excluded it from the market during that time period. This is a prerequisite to liability, not just damages. As noted at oral argument, Intuitive believes there is compelling evidence that SIS was not excluded and made no efforts to compete during that time period. This includes the evidence that Intuitive announced in 2023 it would approve for use with the da Vinci any remanufactured devices that had FDA clearance. *See Betaseed, Inc.* v. *U & I Inc.*, 681 F.2d 1203, 1224 (9th Cir. 1982); *Photovest Corp.* v. *Fotomat Corp.*, 606 F.2d 704, 722 (7th Cir. 1979); *see also* Defs' Trial Br., Dkt. 279-1, at 8 (discussing law concerning contract provisions, like those at issue here, requiring approval for use of third-party products and services).

Even with respect to damages, SIS is required to show that its alleged lost profits are due to anticompetitive conduct, not some other cause such as its own failure to compete. That requires not just constructing a "but-for world," but also comparing it to the actual world to isolate the effect of the defendant's alleged conduct. *See* Am. Bar Ass'n, *Proving Antitrust Damages: Legal and Economic Issues* 89 (3d ed. 2017); *City of Vernon* v. *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, 955 F.2d 1361, 1372 (9th Cir. 1992). Thus, evidence that Intuitive did not exclude SIS from competing as an approved third party and that SIS made no efforts to compete in the actual world after November 2022 is relevant to damages as well.

Accordingly, regarding its Motion in Limine No. 4, Intuitive should be able to introduce such evidence at trial and SIS, having obtained judicial relief blocking nearly all discovery, should be estopped from submitting evidence related to this time period. SIS's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 and 5 should be denied because FDA-related evidence is relevant without regard to whether FDA clearance was required as a matter of law.

1	Dated: November 26, 2024	By: <u>/s/ Kenneth A. Gallo</u>
2		Kenneth A. Gallo
		Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice)
3		Paul D. Brachman (pro hac vice)
4		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
		GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW
5		Washington, DC 20006-1047
6		Telephone: (202) 223-7300
_		Facsimile: (202) 204-7420
7		Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com
8		Email: pbrachman@paulweiss.com
9		William B. Michael (pro hac vice)
		Crystal L. Parker (pro hac vice)
10		Daniel A. Crane (pro hac vice)
11		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
		GARRISON LLP
12		1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064
13		Telephone: (212) 373-3000
13		Facsimile: (212) 757-3990
14		Email: wmichael@paulweiss.com
15		Email: cparker@paulweiss.com
		Email: dcrane@paulweiss.com
16		Joshua Hill Jr. (SBN 250842)
17		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
18		GARRISON LLP
10		535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
19		San Francisco, CA 94105
20		Telephone: (628) 432-5100 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101
20		Email: jhill@paulweiss.com
21		
22		Sonya D. Winner (SBN 200348) COVINGTON & BURLINGTON LLP
23		415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
23		San Francisco, California 94105-2533
24		Telephone: (415) 591-6000
25		Facsimile: (415) 591-6091
		Email: swinner@cov.com
26		
27		
28		
20		- 2 -
	Defendant's Addandum Page	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE On November 26, 2024, I caused a copy of Defendant's Addendum Regarding Plaintiff's Motions in Limine Nos. 1 and 5 and Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 4 to be electronically served via email on counsel of record for Surgical Instrument Service Company, Inc. Dated: November 26, 2024 By: /s/ Kenneth A. Gallo Kenneth A. Gallo